

Minnesota MUFON Journal

Issue #114 July/Aug. 2005

Directors Report

UFO-Related Physiological Effects by Dick Moss, MN MUFON State Dir.

During the MUFON Symposium held in Seattle on July 7-9, 1995, a presentation titled Developing a Catalog of UFO-Related Human Physiological Effects was delivered by John Schuessler. Many field investigators may not consider a possible role for themselves in the event of injury to a person caused by a UFO.

Dr. J. Allen Hynek was quoted as saying that we have too many sightings, yet we are far from a solution. He went on to recommend that researchers specialize in some unique aspect of the UFO.

Consider that Stanton Friedman, Don Berliner, Kevin Randle, and Donald Schmitt have done a great amount of research on the Roswell crash. Linda Howe and Tom Adams are known for their work on cattle mutilations. Dr. David Jacobs, Dr. John Mack, Budd Hopkins, Yvonne Smith, and Dr. John Carpenter have specialized in abductions. Len Stringfield was dedicated to crash-retrieval research. These are the kinds of efforts most apt to result in the accumulation of important and relevant data.

The development of specialized databases is another valuable effort. Ted Phillips assembled a database of more than 4000 landing trace cases. Gordon Creighton cataloged 170 cases relating to UFO effects on animals, birds, and small creatures. Dr. Richard Haines has documented 3000 cases from aircraft pilots around the world. Dr. Mark Rodeghier of CUFOS put together a catalog of 441 UFO reports involving vehicle interference. A similar effort was made in Australia by Keith Basterfield and Paul Jackson. Gordon Lore and Major Donald Keyhoe produced a report on strange effects from UFOs. Efforts like these help to define the nature of the UFO situation.

The collection of data related to human physiological effects in UFO cases was originally of secondary interest to researchers. Early investigators wanted to know about the when and where of an event along with a description of the UFO. The only time medical data was gathered was if an injury had occurred which demanded medical treatment. Many that had been injured did not reveal that a UFO had been the cause. Victims suffered alone. Due to the giggle factor there was a risk in speaking out. A lack of cooperation among UFO organizations left the data stranded in private files so that they were poorly investigated.

In 1991, MUFON formed a Medical Committee, drawing researchers in from many medical specialties, to evaluate case data and provide an information exchange for the organization. A study of physiological



Minnesota Mufon Meetings

**Sat., July 9th & Sat., Aug. 13th
1:30pm - 5pm**

**New Brighton Family
Service Center
400 - 10th St. NW
(located 1/4-mile S.W.
of Hwy 694 and 35W.)**

**PARK FREE!
The building is designated as
non-smoking.**

**See map on back cover
(Note: The building has no
special security, so you can
come and go as you please
and smoke outdoors. There
is also an elevator.)**

In this Issue:

- *UFO Physiological effects - page 1*
- *Brazil Mil release UFO info - page 3*
- *1968 Minot case - page 4*
- *Hacker tried for Alien Proof- page 6*
- *Interview w/David Jacobs- page 6*
- *The CE4 Corner - page 11*
- *Bigger than Columbus - page 12*
- *Model Permits Time Travel - pg 14*
- *Eisenhower Quote - page 15*
- *MN MUFON Info - page 15*
- *Meeting Map - page 16*

effects on witnesses and their possible treatment became another specialty area of concern.

If an investigation becomes involved with UFO injuries to a witness there is a simple set of instructions for field investigators to use and are found in the MUFON Field Investigator's Manual. If one finds evidence of an injury the field investigator should contact MUFON headquarters for assistance by the nearest MUFON Medical Consultant.

A list of obvious effects of interest includes feeling of heat, field effects (hair stands on end), disorientation, time loss, pain, headache, paralysis, marks on skin, burns, lumps, growths, sores, loss of appetite, diarrhea, lethargy, eye problems, hair loss/gain, tooth damage, psychological problems and paranormal effects.

There are also some hidden effects that should be explored. Without leading the witness, an investigator should explore unusual feelings, strange dreams, and cancers or heart problems that develop in days, weeks, or months following the encounter. Investigators should be alert to medical evidence caused by abductors including medical-type examinations, evidence of insertion of needles, implants, and possible reactions to devices used by abductors.

Burns, rashes, cuts, bruises, needle marks, discolorizations, hair loss, and eye problems should be documented on tape and film using a close-up lens.

Injuries as defined by the MUFON Field Investigator's Manual are grouped into four categories.

Category 1 - Temporary injuries such as paralysis, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, headache, tingling sensations, electrical shocks, feeling heat or field effects, temporary blindness, mild burns, perception of odors and sounds.

Category 2 - Chronic effects such as skin lesions including severe burns, scarring, open sores, cancers, and anemia.

Category 3 - Those involving female abductees, missing fetus and implants.

Category 4 - Psychological or paranormal evidence as noted by the witness.

What follows are three brief accounts of medical injury.

Businessman and ranch owner Luis Fernandes Barros, was found dazed in a carriage behind a donkey. He told of a lighted object that came over him two hours before daylight. A door on the bottom of the craft opened and a beam of light was projected on him. He experienced nausea, diarrhea, headaches, and vomiting. Three months later his hair turned white and by the end of six months Barros had lost all mental faculties.

Jose Bogea was walking from his home. He was chased by a bright, blue light. He shined his flashlight on it and immediately it flashed a light back, knocking him to the ground. It was like an electrical shock. Jose regained consciousness the next morning. His arm was completely numb and his kidneys, spine, and right side hurt badly. He had no appetite for eight days and had to use a cane because he couldn't stand on his right leg without it.

Two women and a boy encountered an object hovering over a road in Texas. The object was huge, diamond-shaped and brilliantly lit. The car became hot and they stopped and got out of it to avoid being burned. When the object flew away ten minutes later it was followed by a large group of military helicopters. They experienced burns on all exposed skin, eye damage, hair loss, diarrhea, vomiting, headache, dizziness, weight loss, energy loss, and cancer.

UFO confrontations involving harm to humans only happen in a small percentage of cases, but because they happen when least expected, investigators have been ill-equipped to deal with them. Due to the nature of the phenomenon medical professionals have lacked the knowledge and desire to deal with these kinds of problems.

MUFON has a group of medical consultants involved in the MUFON Medical Committee and the new Field Investigator's Manual has instructions on how to deal with injury cases.

The above summary is a condensed version of a presentation made by John Schuessler. Those who wish to read the complete account in the 1995 MUFON Symposium Proceedings, plus twelve others, can go to www.mufon.com and click on the MUFON Store link located to the upper left. Copies of other Symposium Proceedings are also available.

**BRAZILIAN MILITARY RECOGNIZE
UFO RESEARCH AND RELEASE
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION**

By A. J. Gevaerd,

Friday, May 20, was an historic day for Ufology in Brazil and in the world as the Brazilian Air Force (FAB), for the very first time in its history, officially receives a committee of top UFO researchers to openly discuss sightings in the country and fully exam classified UFO documents in several military facilities in Brasilia, the Federal Capital.

By doing this very important step, Brazilian Air Force (FAB) has placed Brazil in a very short list of countries whose militaries acknowledge the Ufology as a serious activity and significative effort to fully understand the nature and origin of UFOs. "We want to have all info on the subject, that is withheld by us for some decades, fully released to public, through the UFO community", declared brigadier Telles Ribeiro, chief of Brazilian Air Force Communication Center.

The Brazilian Government, through the Brazilian Air Force, has finally decided to come forward and recognize the UFO research as a genuine activity as a direct result of the intense pressure made by the campaign UFOs: Freedom of Information Now, a movement started by the Brazilian UFO Magazine in April 2004. The campaign was launched by the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU), composed of 6 UFO civilian researchers: Claudeir Covo, Marco Petit, Rafael Cury, Reginaldo de Athayde, Fernando Ramalho and A. J. Gevaerd (Athayde was sick an replaced by new interim member veteran UFO researcher Roberto Affonso Back).

Details of the campaign both in Portuguese and in English can be consulted at: www.ufo.com.br and www.ufo.com.br/secretcy.php.

The approach of the Brazilian military by the CBU committee started last February, ten months after the campaign begun, with a phone call from a Brazilian Air Force spokesman, major Antonio Lorenzo, and a formal invitation to a visit and a chat in some Air Force headquarters in Brasilia. Major Lorenzo fully recognized the UFO researchers' efforts in this field and provided a few details of what kind of reserved files and procedures the Brazilian Air Force (FAB) has about the UFO Phenomena and its detection, recording and investigation in the country.

Two meeting between the civilian UFO researchers and the military took place last Friday, May 20, and firstly happened in the headquarters of the Integrated Center of Air Defense and Air Traffic Control (Centro Integrado de Defesa Aérea e Controle de Trafego Aéreo, Cindacta), a very sensitive facility. During two hours, the researchers were given lectures of the procedures conducted at Cindacta and had the chance to visit air traffic control rooms and understand how UFOs could be detected by the Air Force personnel.

The second and most important meeting took place just after this one at the very reserved facilities of Brazilian Air Defense Command (Comando de Defesa Aerea Brasileiro, Comdabra), an even more sensitive installation that controls the entire air defense situation in the country and surrounding areas of Atlantic Ocean and South America. In this facility the UFO researchers were given full briefing of the top aspects of aerial defense of the country.

It was in this facility that its own commander, brigadier Atheneu Azambuja, admitted to the UFO researchers how concerned the Brazilian military are about the UFO phenomena. Azambuja also gave details of Comdabra procedures and openly admitted that the country has systematically detected and registered UFOs in the country - labeled as "H Traffic" - since 1954. That wasn't a surprise for the UFO researchers of the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU), but how this registering process took place was.

After detailed explanations of Comdabra activities, brigadier Atheneu Azambuja, for the first time in history, gave full access to the civilian UFO researchers to exam 3 different folders with classified UFO information, cases from specific dates of 1954, 1977, and 1986. The first case was an airplane pursuit of a UFO in the ocean shores of Paraná State.

The second file was much more important. In the folder containing the 1977 docs that could be examined by the UFO researchers were dozens of cases of UFOs in the Amazon with and amazing amount of over 100 pictures made during the so-called Operation Saucer, an official program of UFO investigation by the military that took place from September to December, 1977, and has been fully covered by specialized UFO press over the world.

The third case was the "The Official Night of UFOs in Brazil", a very significant group of events that happened in May 1986, when 21 objects of over 100 m in diameter jammed Brazilian air traffic control system over Rio de Janeiro, Sao Jose dos Campos and Sao Paulo, mainly, and several jets were sent to intercept - without any success - the intruders.

The Brazilian Air Force (FAB) representatives at those meetings then fully admitted that Ufology is serious business and broadly recognized the activity of UFO research by the civilian UFO community. And also guaranteed that further steps are about to be taken to let the researchers to exam the entire military UFO files in a more comprehensive way. Plus, a committee of military and civilian UFO researchers was promised to start operating very soon, coordinated by the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU).

This certainly means that we will start a new era in Ufology in Brazil and in South America. Very good things are about to happen as the Brazilian Air Force (FAB) command, the Brazilian Ministry of Defense and the Brazilian president Luis Inacio Lula da Silva receive the open letters and formal requests for opening for good the documents and start the mentioned committee, as presented to them by their spokesman. More news to come.

A. J. Gevaerd, Editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine and Head of the Brazilian Committee of UFO Researchers (CBU). www.ufo.com.br
gevaerd@ufo.com.br

THE 1968 MINOT CASE **By William McNeff, MN MUFON ASD**

In 1969 I visited one of my sisters and her husband, Richard Clark. He had just left the Air Force after having fulfilled his military obligation. He had been stationed at Minot, ND AFB on October 24, 1968. Early that morning a series of startling incidents occurred involving sightings of UFOs by Air Force personnel in the Minot area. My brother-in-law was a security officer and was assigned the task of collecting reports from the Air Force personnel and radar camera film from a B-52 that had been maneuvering in the area at the time. The next morning, an investigation team headed by a colonel landed at the base. The colonel asked for the radar camera film, which was locked up in the security room. When this was refused because the

colonel was not on the access list for the security room, he hit the ceiling! A short time later, the Base Commander arrived, who did have access, and he gave the film to the colonel. My brother-in-law was assigned to have prints made from the radar camera film. He had two sets of prints made and kept one set. Being aware of my interest in UFOs, he gave me those prints. He also told me that the UFO seen by the B-52 crew was an oblong red-orange glowing object.

A few years later, after the Air Force's "Project Blue Book" files were sanitized and released to the National Archives in Washington, DC, I visited the Archives, applied for status as a UFO researcher and was given access to the files, which are on microfilm. I found the Minot case, which covered about 100 frames, including the radar photos. The document below is one of those pages:

MINOT, NORTH DAKOTA, OCTOBER 24, 1968

NATIONAL ARCHIVES ROLL #82 FILE 12546-12628 [10-26-68 to 2-10-69]

MEMO FOR THE RECORD: At about 1730 hours [5:30 PM] the FTD Duty Officer called Lt. Marano [at Wright - Patterson AFB, Project Blue Book] and said they had a pretty important sighting from Minot AFB...[the] crew of a B-52 had sighted and photographed a UFO...I called Col. Quintanilla and told him of the sighting, then called Minot and talked to Lt. Col. Werlich, the UFO investigator... He gave details: "At about 0300 hours local time, a B-52 that was about 39 miles NW of Minot AFB... making practice penetrations sighted an unidentified blip on their radar. Initially the target traveled 2-1/2 miles in 3 seconds or about 3000 mph. After passing from the right to the left of the plane it assumed a position off the left wing. The blip stayed off the left wing for about 20 miles at which point it broke off. Scope photos were taken. When the target was close to the B-52 neither of the transmitters in the B-52 would operate properly, but when it broke off both returned to normal function.

At 0308 hours the initial report was rec'd from a Maint. team between [Minuteman missile sites] Nov. 8 & 7. All observed the object. They stated it was reddish orange in color, a very large object with flashing green & white lites. After they entered Nov. 7 IF, the object came directly overhead with the sound of jet engines. Sgt. Bond stated the object looked to him as the sun and came near the

Handred antenna at Nov. 1. It then moved to the right and he sent the SAT to check. The object then moved about 1 mi. away with the SAT following. They came within 1/2 mi. from where it appeared to be landing. When it reached [the] surface, the lites became dimmer and finally went out. S. Sgt. Smith at Oscar 1 saw the object separate in two parts and go in opposite directions and return and pass under each other. At this time Juliet team and Mike Flt. team observed the same things...Observation period 45 minutes."

A few years ago, I told Tom Tulien, UFO historian, about the Minot radar prints. Because of the photographic reproduction process used to make the microfilms, the resolution of the radar prints that I have is much better than the photos on the microfilm. When Tulien learned that ABC-TV was going to produce the Peter Jennings UFO special, I loaned the prints to Tulien so that he could loan them to ABC. Tulien made some high-resolution digital scans of the prints before he loaned them to ABC. ABC used these prints in the special. This was one of the few cases with hard evidence that they presented on February 24, 2005. A partial transcript of the Minot portion of the Peter Jennings Special follows:

Blue Book Case 12548:

Jennings: "In the middle of the cold war across remote stretches of the northern United States, the Air Force was keeping missiles and B-52s on alert. October 24, 1968, near Minot, ND, Airman 1st Class Mike O'Connor [and another airman] were dispatched to make routine repairs to a missile silo." O'Connor: "Out of the corner of my eye, I observed what I thought was a farmyard light, but it looked awfully bright. As we proceeded, it seemed to lift off the ground and sort of paralleled us to the missile site and hovered [some distance away]. At this point we got out of the truck and it just sort of hovered there." Staff Sgt. Bill Smith was in charge of security for ten missile sites. Smith: "These objects would rise and hover...they would dart very quickly one way or the other. They were things we couldn't explain..."

Jennings: "The Minot AFB control tower diverted a B-52 to investigate. Capt. Brad Runyon was the B-52's copilot." Runyon: "The Air Traffic Controller asked us if we would mind going out to this one area and look for something. I said, 'What do you want us to look for?' They said, 'Well, you'll know it if you find it.' Navigator Capt. Patrick McCaslin suddenly identified an object on his radar screen."

McCaslin: "I saw a [radar] return...it showed an object off the right wing, about 3 miles away. I told the radar navigator to turn on the radar scope camera. The radar showed the object was flying in formation, climbing out with us and maintaining the same heading we were. But what really watered my eyes was when this thing backed away and allowed us to turn inside of it!"

[When the object suddenly disappeared from radar, instead of landing, they made a left turn and headed back to the northwest toward the area where the craft had gone off the radar.]

Jennings: "Capt. Runyon was the first to see the glowing craft hovering near the ground. "When things like that are happening it seems like time stands still. My estimate was that it was a minimum of 200 feet in diameter and hundreds of feet long. [Attached to the oval] it had a metallic cylinder [that was] attached to a crescent moon. At that point, I was fairly sure I was looking at an alien spaceship... something that came here from another planet..." Runyon and other members of the crew reported their sighting. Sixteen others on the ground saw strange objects that morning.

Jennings: "In its final report, Project Blue Book said they were all seeing stars."

Capt. McCaslin: "I know none of those airmen were looking at stars. It bothers me that Bluebook blew it off. I don't think this incident has ever been adequately explained."

Jennings: "The Air Force finally got out of the business of trying to explain UFOs in 1969. It closed down Project Blue Book. There was one loud dissenting voice - Dr. J. Allen Hynek: "The Air Force [ridiculed civilian observers]. But they could hardly say the men they had trained were not reliable observers."

Some other incidents occurred near Minot during the morning of October 24, 1968 that are not fully documented in the National Archives. But other sources (Tom Tulien and Richard Clark) tell us that two of the three perimeter alarms at one of the missile silos went off that morning: The outer perimeter alarm on the gate in the chain link fence, and the inner alarm on the massive silo lid, which was supposed to sound only if the lid was moved. Technicians found the gate open, but there was no sign that the lid had been disturbed. There was no good explanation for these alarms. Also, Clark said

that a total of 58 military personnel had seen strange objects that morning.

Also, two of the airman near a missile silo that morning got out of their vehicle as the unknown object approached, and were later found unconscious on the ground. I personally don't have any more detail on this, but Tom Tulien may.

This case, with its reliable observers and physical evidence in the form of radar photographs, temporarily malfunctioning transmitters in the B-52, and unexplained missile silo alarms, must be considered one of the best cases in Ufology.



Drawing By BRADFORD LLOYD,
11/28/00
COLORIZED BY WILLIAM MCNEFF 3/17/03

Hacker 'was trying for proof of aliens'
By Duncan Gardham, (Filed: 09/06/05)
<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml;jsessionid=4ITUPO4UKMTG3QFIQMFCM5WAVCBQYJVC?xml=/news/2005/06/09/nhack09.xml&sSheet=/news/2005/06/09/ixnewsto.p.html>

A Briton said to be the "biggest military hacker of all time" was accused yesterday of breaking into 97 US government computers.

Janet Boston representing the US government, told an extradition hearing at Bow Street magistrates' court in London that Gary McKinnon, 39, an unemployed computer engineer, had caused around \$700,000 (£383,000) damage.

She said: "On one instance, the US Army's military district of Washington network became inoperable."

McKinnon had broken into systems partly in an attempt to prove aliens exist, his solicitor Karen Todner said after the hearing. "He believes the US government knew about UFOs and had been concealing it," she added.

"He also wanted to expose weaknesses in the American security systems because he is a pacifist."

Mrs Todner added: "He doesn't deny that he did infiltrate their computer system. In relation to the specific charges, we need to work out whether there are offences in relation to his action."

McKinnon, of Wood Green, north London, now faces a US jail term of up to 70 years on 20 charges. The court heard he had recently started a temporary computer job and would be contesting the extradition request. He was granted bail to reappear on July 27. © - Telegraph Group Limited

From an interview with David Jacobs On "The Threat" By UFO Journalist Sean Casteel

Temple University professor David Jacobs, Ph.D., the author of the highly regarded books *The UFO Controversy In America* and *Secret Life*, has spent more than 30 years researching UFOs and alien abduction. But it was only recently that he came to feel he had solved the mystery to his own satisfaction. The solutions he arrived at are the subject of his third book, *The Threat: What The Aliens Really Want And How They Plan To Get It* (Simon and Schuster, 1998). Finding what he believes to be the answers was not a happy event for Jacobs. He told us recently that he now approaches the subject with an attitude of dread and deep concern about the future of humanity and the planet we call home.

Casteel: What do the aliens really want?

Jacobs: Well, you know, the ultimate question I think to ask for the UFO phenomenon is "Just what the hell do you think they're here for?" That's the question that I've tried to address in this book-- what is this all about? What is happening here? Why is this happening? Why are people saying that these events are happening? So what I've done then is try to answer those questions as best I can by using as much information as I can from eleven years of fairly intensive research into abductions.

And what I've been able to find is that this is a program. They're not here just because they're examining people, or studying people, or experimenting on people. I don't know, Sean, if you remember I gave a talk about that in Los Angeles when I saw you. So they're not here to sort of "examine" us in some way. They're here on a mission. They're here with a goal in mind. They've got a program, and it's a program with a beginning, a middle and an end. It's a program that is goal-directed and I think we're entering into sort of the end-phase of this program. I think that we're moving towards the end of this.

And the program ultimately is not abducting people. Abductions, you have to remember, are a means to an end. They're abducting people for a purpose, for a reason. The physical act of abducting people, which is the abduction phenomenon, really is only part of the program. So what I've done is kind of divided it into component parts and fleshed it out a lot more. So what we have here is an abduction program, a breeding program, which accounts for all the reproductive activity that we see, and a hybridization program, which is why people see hybrids all the time--as babies, as toddlers, as adolescents, and then as adults.

And then, finally, I think all this is leading to an integration program in which ultimately these hybrids, who look very human, will be integrating into this society. And who will eventually, I assume, be in control here because they do have superior technology and superior physiological abilities that we do not have. We would therefore be sort of second-class citizens, I think.

Now, I find this to be very disturbing. And the interesting thing is that I don't really see other scenarios. I know that people feel it's positive and it's wonderful, and all the rest of that. And they're here to help us. But in the cases that I've investigated, very carefully, very thoroughly, for a very long time, I have not had people discuss that. When people discuss the future, generally speaking, they are discussing this integration program that they're confronting, and we're all confronting. I've been involved with UFO research for about 32 years now, since about 1965, and I have never been downcast or depressed about the phenomenon. I have never been pessimistic about it. I've always been filled with wonder and awe and amazement at it. I've been enthusiastic and optimistic about it.

But I must say that now that I've learned as much as I have learned, and I think I've learned an awful lot, I am very, very unsettled and upset by what I see. I don't like what I see. I wish I didn't see this. I wish I hadn't uncovered this. I despair of it. It's thrown me into a tremendous sense of concern about the future and unease. I just don't like it very much. I wish I did. I don't want to be this way. I don't want to be the bearer of bad news. I could not have ever imagined that I would come to this position. What I'm seeing now, what I've found with the phenomenon, I could never have imagined.

Now, though, I am persuaded by the evidence. I think that we are looking at a very serious business happening in front of us. As you know, the UFO and abduction phenomena is very, very widespread. And people have seen tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands, maybe millions of UFOs around the world for a long time now, at least through the 20th Century, and certainly since 1947, and before that as well. It means that the amount of time and energy put into this program is really quite enormous. This means that it has a tremendous amount of importance to these beings.

And there's another aspect to it also that is disconcerting. It's a secret phenomenon. They don't want us to know what they're doing. They don't want us to interfere. This is a consciously-arrived-at and successful secrecy program to prevent us from knowing. Gosh, that makes me very uneasy, Sean. So anyway, I've become depressed about the whole thing.

Casteel: So the reason for all this negative feeling and depression is because you feel that you and I and people who are natural human beings will somehow be subject to a higher form of oppression?

Jacobs: Of authority, right. I do think that something like that is going to happen. The way I look at it, I have one scenario which I like. All the rest of them I don't like. The one scenario which I do like is that one day, they will come to the abductees and say, "Our program is done now. We have accomplished our goal. We've taken what we need. Thank you so much for your help. Thank you so much for your cooperation. We'll be leaving now. You'll never know we were even there. People will wonder forever whether they were abducted or not. Now, goodbye and good night." That's my favorite scenario. I love that scenario. But in fact, we never

hear that. We always hear a scenario about the future in which these beings say they're going to be here with us.

And everything is going to be wonderful. Everything is going to be great. It's going to be just delightful. We're going to like it, they're going to like it, everybody's going to like it. That's the future according to them, but when I take a look at their society, and when I take a look at a future in which they would be in control because of their superior technology and physiological abilities, I see a very, very different society than the kind that we live in now--a society that's far more restricted and far more controlled. The whole concept of individual freedom in this kind of society would be under serious question. I don't like that. I don't want it. I would rather have human beings make their own mistakes and fix their own problems and do things by themselves. I think we're perfectly capable of doing it. I think we can all live together into a happy future. I think that's within the realm of possibility.

Casteel: So they paint a Utopian picture of what's going to happen?

Jacobs: Well, they paint a picture of what they consider to be good for themselves. And they live in a controlled society. They live in a society where everybody knows his or her job. They live in a society where everything is controlled. The ability for people to act independently is very, very circumscribed in this kind of society that they live in. I just don't like it. I'm filled with apprehension over this. Now the key thing here is they are here for a reason. They are not studying or experimenting on us, and they're keeping their activities secret from us so we won't find out.

Casteel: That's what you were saying at the lecture, that they were way beyond the experimental stage.

Jacobs: Right. In fact, that was the title of the lecture "Is this an experiment or a program?" You don't have to be a rocket scientist to see what's going on. This doesn't look like an experiment to me, you know. It's been worldwide with millions of people for 50 years. Day in, day out, 24 hours a day. What kind of an experiment is that? And there are a lot of other reasons why it's not an experiment.

So it's disconcerting. And I never used to think this until I began to put it together--until I began to come to these conclusions and realize I think this is what it is. I think that in the book, basically, I've

advanced hypotheses which might very well essentially be what this UFO phenomenon is all about. This is not the final aspect of studying this phenomenon, but I do think that I've fleshed out what the goals and purposes are. We're not exactly sure of all the "whys." Why they would want to do it in the first place? What's the point? We don't really know that. But I think this is certainly a hypothetical answer to the UFO puzzle. I think pretty much we've answered it.

That's what we're looking at. And therefore I think we're looking at a very difficult future.

Casteel: So the "how-they-plan-to-get-it" part would be through the breeding and hybridization programs?

Jacobs: Yeah, but how it's going to be played out, I don't really know. There's a lot of different scenarios. There's the Disaster Scenario that abductees keep talking about over and over again. We've had this for years and years. I don't quite know how that's going to happen. Whether there's going to be a disaster or not. There's a scenario where they just sort of naturally and nicely integrate into this society and we never even know it's happening. I guess there's things in the middle. We don't really know how what the aliens and abductees call "The Change," is going to take place. We don't really know that quite yet.

Casteel: But they're given visions like the world on fire or natural disasters, that kind of thing?

Jacobs: Yeah, well, it's all sorts of disaster scenarios, which includes atomic war. It includes asteroids hitting the earth. It includes floods, plagues, famine, whatever. It's sort of a generalized disaster and you just fill in the blanks as to what kind of disaster it will be. It's really non-specific, although people report more atomic war or the earth cracking in half or being destroyed by a comet or something like that more than other things, I guess.

I don't think the specifics are all that important, but the idea of a disaster is the most important thing. But I don't know whether that's true or not. We really don't know that yet because it might be a very different scenario. But I'm certainly going to stick by my guns and say that this is an integration program. However it's worked out, they will be integrating into this society and that's what this is leading to.

As I say about all my books, there's no possibility, Sean, that I have avoided error. I'm going to be wrong somewhere, somehow, in God knows how many things. But I think that this hypothesis that I'm presenting here is supportable by the evidence. And that's what makes it more disturbing. Everything I've written in this book is evidence-driven. That's why it's such a difficult book to deal with.

Casteel: Well, it's like "Invasion of the Body Snatchers," where you end up mouthing the words, "It's better this way. We have no pain now."

Jacobs: You are exactly right. I've thought about that, too. And of course one of the things I've been criticized for is because it has such a science-fiction quality to it. And then people say, "Therefore it is science-fiction." People have picked it up in the culture and that's why I'm hearing this. What they do is they make the mistake by finding similarities and saying that the similarities are in fact equalities. Which, of course, they are not. The fact is though is that it does have what they call "Programmatic Content." It does have content whereby we can see the inner workings of what they're doing and what's happening. And there are parallels in science-fiction, and certainly one of them is "Invasion of the Body Snatchers." That is in fact one of the parallels we see. But there's a lot of other parallels in science-fiction also. And if you look hard enough, you're going to be able to find a bit and a piece of it here and there and everywhere. But I don't think that this is science-fiction.

You've got to remember that most abductees are really not much into science-fiction. Most people I work with say they don't follow science-fiction. They haven't seen those movies. They don't know about that kind of stuff. It's not something where it just pervades the society. As people try to tell me, "Well, it's just sort of everywhere." Well, it isn't exactly like that. You've got to remember that the abduction phenomenon, while similar to science-fiction here and there, is really very different in almost all of its areas. It really is a different kind of situation, as you know.

And of course you have situations where people see other people being abducted and people are physically missing from their normal environment. There's a strong physical component to it that's very tough to explain.

Casteel: One thing I thought was interesting was the way you went over the varying degrees of hybrids. Varying percentages of human versus alien combinations.

Jacobs: Yeah, I tried to put forward a new concept of hybridization. One that makes more sense and one that's more in line with the evidence as it's presented by the abductees.

Casteel: Like subtle degrees between the various kinds?

Jacobs: Right. And you know, it does make sense that way. It answers a lot of things. It makes sense primarily because abductees have been saying this. So I was able to divide it into sort of like late stage, middle stage, and early stage hybrids. But one of the interesting things about this phenomenon, Sean, is that you know I talked about toddlers and what kind of toys they played with and young children and what they play with. And I even had some sort of "widget" that the adolescents tinkered with. Remember? There was that one sequence where he had a box and he had to press certain things and if he pressed them the right way there was a flash. Remember that?

Casteel: Yeah, like an alien Nintendo or something?

Jacobs: Right. But in terms of toys, we basically know quite a lot about alien toys, about kids' toys, and all that. And the hybrids and what they do. I spent a lot of time on hybrid children. If this were psychological, I couldn't do that. We wouldn't be able to describe certain toys that they used that other people have described as well. We're learning so much about this phenomenon, it's just extraordinary. And yet everything we learn points in the direction of the integration program.

Remember I talked about this one woman who was involved in this sort of learning situation in which she was standing in front of a class of hybrids. And a picture of a dog came down.

Casteel: Right, and she was supposed to explain all the earthly things.

Jacobs: And she was asked "What's a dog for?" And she said, "Well, you know, it's a companion" and all that. But see, that points to integration into the society. Everything points to it. This concern, this interest in earth things. I don't think it's just sort of "interest." I think they're interested in it for a

reason. The interesting thing here is they're not saying, "How do you elect a president?" "What do you do Saturday night?" and things like that. They're not interested in politics, economics, culture, society. That they're not concerned with. And we almost never, never get questions about that. But if this were psychological, we would be getting questions like that. But they're interested in physiology, they're interested in anatomy, they're interested in the natural world, the environment, animals, things like that. Things that are not man-made necessarily. As if in the future, it's not going to matter what we have built. The only thing that's going to matter is what they do. That's one way of looking at it.

But it's disconcerting. You want them to ask questions about Clinton, you know, and things like that. Something where you can see they really are learning about society, but in fact, if they integrate into this society, there's going to be an overlay of their society. And ours isn't going to matter a whole lot. That's my interpretation. Now I might be awfully wrong about that, but it certainly is my interpretation of it.

Casteel: Well, given the inevitability of it all, you just kind of want to hang on to some kind of shred of hope that it'll be a good thing somehow.

Jacobs: Well, the one hope I have right now is not much of a hope. But the hope that I have right now is the fact that it's still secret. That is to say that as long as it's still secret, they must assume that they are still vulnerable and therefore there's a way that we can affect the program. That's not a whole lot to hang on to, for me. But you know I despair that the scientific community is going to realize the import of what's happening in front of them. I think that even if they do, there's so much water under the bridge and we're so far down the line with this that indeed it may make no difference. Maybe 30 or 40 years ago it might have made a difference, but I'm looking to the future where I just don't see the scientific community getting interested in this subject. It hasn't happened in the past, and failing some sort of sudden event, some sort of sudden revelation, some sort of incredible thing, "Clinton Exposed As Alien Himself," or something like that, I just don't see them becoming interested in it. They've had half a century of the ability to have that interest and have not utilized it.

I don't like what I'm seeing here. I've spent my entire adult life studying this subject intensely. Year after year. I have a professional degree with a Ph.D. in the subject and I teach the only course in the country on the subject, the only regularly scheduled, full credit course in the country, which I've taught for 19 years. I've written three books on the subject and many articles. And I've never really felt the despair I feel now that I think that we've broken it open and we're looking at it and examining it. And it's just not what I expected. It's not what anybody expected. I just wish it was not that way. I just don't like it.

However, this is one of those situations where you can despair of it--you can feel Oh, my God, this is awful--but you have to lead your life as though it's not happening. It's the only way you can get along. It's the way I get along. And I've got two kids, you know. So I look at them and I look at the book and I don't know what kind of future they're going to have. That's true. I really don't. That wasn't just words. This is of great concern to me. I really don't know what kind of future they're going to have.

Casteel: Well, again, I guess the one ray of hope is the possibility that it won't be a cruel form of oppression to live under them.

Jacobs: Well, that certainly might be possible. I don't think it's going to be a cruel form of oppression. I just think it's going to be very different and not to our liking. I don't see an oppressive situation necessarily. I don't think that we're going to be whipped like a slave in a galley on a Viking ship or something like that. But at the same time, I do not see the freedom of movement and action and activity that we have now. Individual freedom and freedom of thought and all that to be the same in the future as it is now.

You've got to remember these beings are telepathic beings. They tap right into your thoughts. I don't want anybody tapping into my thoughts. When I was down in Brazil earlier I gave a paper on what it's like to live in a telepathic society based on abductees' testimony about the society that the aliens live in and the kind of telepathy that the abductees experience. And Sean, you don't want that society. You want to be private. You want to keep your thoughts private. You want to have individual expression, individual thoughts. You want to be able to do what you want to do without anybody knowing. And in their society, that's not necessarily true. It's a different kind of society.

So, is alien integration into Earth's society already a given? Will we lose many of the freedoms we currently enjoy to the superior capabilities of the aliens and the hybrid offspring that are also a part of us?

The old truism "Only Time Will Tell" seems operative here, as it does with so much of the UFO mystery. And in the words of rock singer Tom Petty, "The Waiting Is The Hardest Part."

**The CE4 Corner: July/August, 2005
Preparing for Contact
(c)2005 by Craig R. Lang MS, CHt**

In recent times, I have noted a lot of books, articles and lectures touching upon the question of readiness for contact. In addition, accounts from experiencers in the last couple of months have led me to wonder more about the dynamics of contact - real or fictional - past, present or future. In what ways are we ready and in what ways are we "not there yet...?"

The most recent article I read was by Jill Tartar, of the SETI Institute (i). The article appeared in the regular SETI Thursday column on www.space.com. In it, she gives a fair amount of print space to the question of whether we should transmit signals into space to be detected by our E.T. neighbors. The consensus of the SETI community seems to be "No". The main reason given is that, in the event of SETI contact, humanity would be the youngest member of the radio-ET cosmic-club. Thus, we probably would not yet be aware of the (presumed) rules of communication. Therefore, once SETI does make a detection, she recommends that we wait until we clearly understand the electronic ways of the Cosmic Club.

Secondly, Tartar questions whether we have the long-term thinking necessary to carry on a Radio-SETI dialog with our neighbors. She argues that most human thinking is very short-term. This lack of a long-term perspective suggests that humanity would not have the aggregate patience to carry on such a dialog. Tartar's conclusion appears to be that humanity needs to adopt a more timeless way of thinking before we are truly able to dialog in a Radio-SETI Universe. We need to "grow up" before we can meet the neighbors.

The next food for thought was the talk by Grant Cameron (ii) at Minnesota MUFON on Thursday May 13, 2005. In his talk, Cameron touched on some of the political dynamics of Human-E.T. contact. But unlike Jill Tartar, who has often stated that contact lies far in the future, Cameron stated that contact has already occurred.

Cameron's talk was largely about the Canadian perspective on the crashed saucer scenario during the 1940's and 50's. He covered the personal and political dynamics of MJ12 and talked about historical figures in the UFO world. He focused on the U.S. secrecy regarding the UFO phenomenon and how governments (especially that of the USA) have kept most UFO/ET info close to the vest - often refusing to share it with even their closest allies.

In the end, Cameron offered some notes on the UFO/Military relationship, and on some attempts at contact. Confrontations between UFOs and the military have included UFO incursions into restricted areas around military bases. However, there have also (allegedly) been channeled or telepathic conversations between military-oriented psychics and the aliens. Cameron described how at least one such dialog apparently occurred between a government/military team and the Visitors. However, a major theme in the message from the Visitors was, once again, that humanity is not ready for contact.

Cameron described how the biggest concern of the Visitors seems to be our overuse of technology - specifically that of nuclear weapons. "They" indicated that humans would not be allowed to wage nuclear war, as there would be too much potential damage to E.T. interests. Such an exchange would destroy the investment that the Visitors have already made in humanity [my paraphrase - CL]. In summary, my take on the telepathic exchange described by Cameron was that humanity is a promising species, but that we are still too warlike at the present time. Again, the bottom line seems to be that, in order for humanity to be ready for contact with the Cosmic Community, humanity needs to "grow up."

While Jill Tartar referred largely to our technological sophistication, Grant Cameron's notes on contact often referred to our conduct of our own affairs. But perhaps we can ask whether these really are separate topics. Could they actually be different aspects of the same question? Could it

be a question of whether we have the maturity to play by the rules of the cosmic community? How can we expect to interact with our cosmic neighbors when - as Grant Cameron portrayed - we are even unwilling to share with our own allies? Or, as Jill Tartar pointed out, we do not think much about the long-term.

Yet another interesting piece of literature was a book entitled, "Preparing for Contact", by Lyssa Royal and Keith Priest (iii), which I read over a year ago. This book covers many topics regarding the relationship humanity to the Visitors - including abduction and overt contact. The information in it is largely channeled, and thus discernment is suggested. But again, a major point of the book was that, from the Visitors' perspective, the aggregate of humanity is not yet ready for contact. Thus, at present contact occurs one human at a time. In effect, each experiencer becomes an ambassador of humanity to the stars.

As we have discussed in this column, contact can be a painful experience. I doubt that many abductees, while lying on an alien examining table and being probed by small grays, would consider themselves to be ambassadors. Yet many also tell me that such experiences seem to bring personal growth and Awakening - sometimes through pain, but some times through overt teaching. In a sense, many describe the CE4 experience as part of our overall preparation for contact.

Some time later I read Lisette Larkins' book, "Calling on Extraterrestrials". In part, this book discusses how she turned her negative encounters into more positive "contact". Like Lyssa Royal and Keith Priest, Lisette Larkins describes contact as a very individual process. Larkins argues that we truly do create our own reality and thus experience whatever we call to us. A positive experience is one that is somehow called in a positive way. For less-positive experiences - a different calling may occur.

Is this assertion true? I note that many experiencers who explore their encounter events in depth seem to find that a large degree of choice occurred within even the darkest scenarios. For reasons often metaphysical in nature, many describe making some form of choice at some earlier time. It may take a lifetime to understand, yet the result seems to be a high degree of growth and learning. It seems to be the core of their relationship with the phenomenon - and I am often

told that it is a key element of our preparation for contact.

So we return to the question of the Visitor agenda - one of the primary topics in this column. What is it and why are "They" here? At the Grant Cameron lecture, he briefly touched upon this question, suggesting that they are here primarily to observe. Perhaps it is to be sure that we humans don't get too far out of line [my paraphrase - CL] - and that we don't destroy ourselves, our planet and them. While Cameron suggests that the role is largely observation, I ask whether, in many ways, this role is extended to covertly prepare us.

Contact will be evolutionary, not revolutionary. Rather than calling us by radio, contact occurs continually, one experiencer at a time. My own hypothesis is that contact will unfold in gradual stages, as we become increasingly ready. But for the most part, that will probably be a long time from now. Humanity has a lot of growing-up to do.

i Jill Tartar, "What If Everybody Is Listening And Nobody Is Transmitting?", posted 21 April 2005 on the SETI Thursday page on Space.com:

http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_tarter_transmit_050421.html

ii <http://www.mnmufon.org/mmj/mmj113.pdf>

iii Lyssa Royal and Keith Priest, "Preparing for Contact"

Bigger than Columbus By Elaine Wolff

<http://www.raidersnewsupdate.com/lead-story16.htm>

The Jung Center searches for the cause and meaning of crop circles.

There is magic in the data itself. Like the phenomena they represent, the charts and maps fall into patterns that appear to defy human machination. Why are crop circles - large geometric symbols that reportedly appear overnight in open fields - so much more common in Iowa than in Louisiana? No, smarty pants; they don't occur only in "crops." Wild grass is the second-most-popular medium, followed closely by "other." Mysterious circle patterns have even been reported in ice, according to the Independent Crop Circles Researchers' Association, but wheat remains vastly more popular than hay.

The San Antonio Jung Center will explore the mountain of assembled data, which spans at least four centuries and most continents but has yet to

generate any viable theories, in its conference, *Civilization in Transition: The History and Mystery of the Contemporary Crop Circle Phenomena*, May 13-14 at the Menger Hotel.

The event's title reflects the fact that crop circles are mostly a modern development, with sightings peaking in the '90s. Crop-circle debunkers have assembled their own charts to argue that increases in crop-circle incidences correlate with increased media coverage, and a handful of hoaxers have come forward over the years. Skeptics cite the growing intricacy of crop circles as further evidence that the adaptive, trainable, and cunning human is the real culprit.

"Cereologists" and "croppies" (as scientists who investigate crop circles are known), and lay believers say the circles can't all be made by people; there are too many of them, and some 50 eyewitnesses claim to have seen circles form before their eyes, often with a ball of white light (Hello, Marfa?). The balls of light (or BOL as they're known in the field; pun unavoidable) and claims of increased radiation levels, electromagnetic fields, and iron-oxide glazes, have led to a new, less catchy, name among some researchers: Multi-dimensionally structured energy impact manifestations. Still, extraterrestrials remain a popular explanation, and, among Jungians, multi-dimensional beings who may or may not be from outer space. "If anything [crop circles are] stronger evidence than UFO sightings because it's evidence left behind," says psychologist and conference organizer J. Paul De Vierville. "There seems to be a progression from simplicity to complexity, but what is the message, or, if there is a message, is the message for us?"

"[Aliens are] as good a speculation as any other," says ICCRA representative Jeff Wilson, one of two headliners at the Jung Center's conference. "No one at this point has gathered enough evidence to favor any one hypothesis over another." Armed with a peer-reviewed methodology known as the L-NEAT test, which they say measures an anomaly in the growth node of flattened plants in "authentic" crop circles, seekers such as Wilson are undeterred by their critics. Wilson says that ICCRA's main job at this point is to continue winnowing out the hoaxes. One "test" that may come up for debate is the "bent, not broken" theory: Some observers report that authentic crop-circle plants mysteriously remain healthy and growing despite being bent, and

stretched, at a consistent angle. "That's perpetuated often," disagrees Wilson, "and it's not the case."

Wilson says this weekend's workshops will focus on American and Canadian crop circles. They have been sighted in almost all 50 states. Since the '70s, 10 have been reported in Texas, two of which were found to have a single mutilated cow at the center, another way in which Texas is just special. "There have been very few where that's happened," says Wilson. "No one has gotten to the bottom of the mutilated livestock [phenomenon], either."

The study of crop circles fits Thomas Kuhn's definition of a "pre-paradigm science" Wilson says. "The rules to saying what you can and can't study - there are no rules." For the ICCRA that means that sound artist Beata Van Berkom, Wilson's co-presenter at the Jung Center event, is on equal footing with physicists. Researchers have been able to extrapolate musical chords from two recent Ohio circles, De Vierville excitedly reports. "As crazy as it sounds, it's much like that film (*Close Encounters of the Third Kind*). Da-da-da-da," he sings.

Science historian Michael Shermer is unimpressed with De Vierville's tune. "I consider [crop circles] performance art," says the *Scientific American* columnist and publisher of *Skeptic* magazine, "the kind of thing that Christo does." Shermer, who says he believes there is other life in outer space, nonetheless thinks that all crop circles are hoaxes. Humans are pattern-seekers, he observes; we try to create meaningful narratives out of the universe's vast array of material. But how could humans be responsible for those increasingly complicated crop-circle patterns? "The answer is, they got better at it!"

With its desire to embrace the possibilities of the mystery over the knowable facts, the study of "multi-dimensionally structured energy impact manifestations" resembles another recently rechristened "pre-paradigm science" called Intelligent Design. That comparison doesn't bother De Vierville who, like a mystic, is looking to commune with something beyond mere humanity. "There are others, who are actually feeling they have been inspired; they feel like they are attempting to communicate with whoever is doing this," he says. "Quite frankly, as this develops slowly, and it seems to be evolving, this is bigger than the Pope's funeral. This is bigger than Columbus contact."

© 1995 - 2005 PowerOne Media, Inc.

New model 'permits time travel'
By Julianna Kettlewell, BBC News
science reporter, 2005/06/17

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/2/hi/science/nature/4097258.stm>

** If you went back in time and met your teenage parents, you could not split them up and prevent your birth - even if you wanted to, a new quantum model has stated. **

Researchers speculate that time travel can occur within a kind of feedback loop where backwards movement is possible, but only in a way that is "complementary" to the present.

In other words, you can pop back in time and have a look around, but you cannot do anything that will alter the present you left behind.

The new model, which uses the laws of quantum mechanics, gets rid of the famous paradox surrounding time travel.

** Paradox explained **

Although the laws of physics seem to permit temporal gymnastics, the concept is laden with uncomfortable contradictions.

The main headache stems from the idea that if you went back in time you could, theoretically, do something to change the present; and that possibility messes up the whole theory of time travel.

Clearly, the present never is changed by mischievous time-travelers: people don't suddenly fade into the ether because a rerun of events has prevented their births - that much is obvious.

** You go back to kill your father, but you'd arrive after he'd left the room, you wouldn't find him, or you'd change your mind ** Professor Dan Greenberger, City University, New York

So either time travel is not possible, or something is actually acting to prevent any backward movement from changing the present.

For most of us, the former option might seem most likely, but Einstein's general theory of relativity leads some physicists to suspect the latter.

According to Einstein, space-time can curve back on itself, theoretically allowing travelers to double back and meet younger versions of themselves.

And now a team of physicists from the US and Austria says this situation can only be the case if there are physical constraints acting to protect the present from changes in the past.

** Weird laws **

The researchers say these constraints exist because of the weird laws of quantum mechanics even though, traditionally, they don't account for a backwards movement in time.

Quantum behaviour is governed by probabilities. Before something has actually been observed, there are a number of possibilities regarding its state. But once its state has been measured those possibilities shrink to one - uncertainty is eliminated.

So, if you know the present, you cannot change it. If, for example, you know your father is alive today, the laws of the quantum universe state that there is no possibility of him being killed in the past.

It is as if, in some strange way, the present takes account of all the possible routes back into the past and, because your father is certainly alive, none of the routes back can possibly lead to his death.

"Quantum mechanics distinguishes between something that might happen and something that did happen," Professor Dan Greenberger, of the City University of New York, US, told the BBC News website.

"If we don't know your father is alive right now - if there is only a 90% chance that he is alive right now, then there is a chance that you can go back and kill him.

"But if you know he is alive, there is no chance you can kill him."

In other words, even if you take a trip back in time with the specific intention of killing your father, so long as you know he is happily sitting in his chair when you leave him in the present, you can be sure that something will prevent you from murdering him in the past. It is as if it has already happened.

"You go back to kill your father, but you'd arrive after he'd left the room, you wouldn't find him, or you'd change your mind," said Professor Greenberger.

"You wouldn't be able to kill him because the very fact that he is alive today is going to conspire against you so that you'll never end up taking that path leads you to killing him."

Greenberger and colleague Karl Svozil introduce their quantum mechanical model of time travel on the ArXiv e-print service. © BBC MMV

President Eisenhower's Farewell Address, January 1961

"In the counsels of Government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the Military Industrial Complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists, and will persist. We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals so that security and liberty may prosper together." [*Maybe we should have paid more attention to his warning? – Ed.*]

Minnesota MUFON

State Dir.: Richard Moss (320) 732-3205
Assist. State Dir.: Bill McNeff (952) 890-1390
Field Invest. Coord.: Margaret DeHarpPorte
Journal Editor: Joel Henry, PO Box 240631
Apple Valley, MN 55124
(952) 431-2426 E-Mail: mmj@mnmufon.org

MN MUFON WEB PAGE

<http://www.mnmufon.org> - Joel Henry, Webmaster

MUFONET: 7.237mhz Sat. at 7:00am CST,
Bob Shultz, Net Control

National MUFON Hotline


To report UFO news, sightings, etc. call 1-800-836-2166

The Minnesota MUFON Journal (MMJ) is a FREE publication available by internet only as a .PDF document. If you send an e-mail request to: mmj@mnmufon.org and I will add you to my e-mail notification list. Or you can just go to: www.mnmufon.org/mmj.htm where you can check for new issues from time to time.

If you know someone who would like the MMJ, but does not have e-mail or internet access, you may print out a copy of the MMJ and give to them as long as you do not charge for it.

If you have news or editorial contributions you wish to submit for inclusion please direct your articles or inquiries to the Editor.

NOTE: Copyrights for the articles in this issue are property of the originator(s) and/or their assignee(s). Articles are reprinted here with permission or are believed to be in the public domain. Permission to use or reprint must be obtained from the original articles author(s).



Minnesota MUFON Journal
Joel Henry, Editor
P.O. Box 240631
Apple Valley, MN 55124

This document was created with Win2PDF available at <http://www.daneprairie.com>.
The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.